

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/654,936	09/01/2000	Y. Tom Tang	787CIP2C	7214
7	7590 06/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Petrina S. Hsi			SITTON, JEHANNE SOUAYA	
HYSEQ, INC.				
670 Almanor Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sunnyvale, CA 94085			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2001	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/654,936	TANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jehanne S. Sitton	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be seply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed  I days will be considered timely.  If om the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 September 2000.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	C	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/654,936

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

  It is noted that each group named below is subject to further restriction, see section 3.
  - I. Claims 1-9, 19, and 22-26, drawn to a nucleic acid, vectors, and host cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, class 435, subclass 320.1, and class 435, subclass 325, respectively.
  - II. Claims 10-11, and 20-21, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - III. Claim 12, drawn to antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
  - IV. Claims 13-15, drawn to methods of identifying a polynucleotide, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - V. Claims 16-18, drawn to methods of contacting a polypeptide with a compound, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - VI. Claims 27 and 28, drawn to methods of treating, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of groups I-III are patentably distinct because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The nucleic acid of group I is composed of deoxyribonucleotides linked by phosphodiester bonds and assumes the form of a double helix. The polypeptide of group II is composed of amino acids linked by peptide bonds and can assume complex tertiary structures. While the antibody of group III is also composed of amino acids

linked by peptide bonds, antibodies are glycosylated and their tertiary structure is unique, where four subunits (2 light chains and 2 heavy chains) associate via disulfide bonds into a Y-shaped symmetric dimer. The products of groups I-III can be used in materially different processes, for example the DNA of group I can be used in hybridization assays, the antibody of group III can be used in immunoassays, and the polypeptide of group II can be used to make a fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different. Therefore, the inventions of groups I-III are patentably distinct from each other. The search for each of groups I-III presents a serious search burden as the searches for each are not coextensive in scope. The inventions have different status in the art as shown by their different classifications. In cases such as this one where descriptive sequence information is provided, the sequences are searched in appropriate databases. There is search burden also in the non-patent literature. Prior to the concomitant isolation and expression of the sequence of interest there may be journal articles devoted solely to polypeptides which would not have described the polynucleotide. Similarly, there may have been "classical" genetics papers which had no knowledge of the polypeptide but spoke to the gene. A polypeptide and an antibody which binds to the polypeptide require different searches. An amino acid sequence search of the full-length protein is necessary for a determination of novelty and unobviousness of the protein. However, such a search is not required to identify the antibodies. Furthermore, antibodies which bind to an epitope of a polypeptide of group may be known even if the polypeptide is novel. Searching, therefore is not coextensive.

Application/Control Number: 09/654,936

Art Unit: 1634

The inventions of groups I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products can be used to encode polypeptides, which are not required to practice the method of Group IV. The search for each group presents a serious search burden as the searches for each are not coextensive in scope. Art relating to methods of detecting polynucleotides would not necessarily provide descriptive sequence information on the polynucleotide itself, and vice versa.

The inventions of groups I and V-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. Additionally, the nucleic acids of group I are not used in the methods of groups V or VI.

The inventions of groups II & III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. Additionally, the products of groups II and III are not used in the method of groups IV.

The inventions of groups II &III and V & VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides can be used to make fusion proteins with enzymatic properties and the antibodies can be used to trigger an immune response, which are not required to practice the methods of Groups V or VI. The search for each group presents a serious search burden as the searches for each are not coextensive in scope. Art relating to methods of contacting a polypeptide with any compound, or to methods of treating would not necessarily provide descriptive sequence information on the polypeptide itself or antibodies, and vice versa.

The inventions of groups IV-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects.

3. Additionally, each group named above is subject to further restriction. Applicant is required to further elect a specific SEQ ID NO. This is NOT an election of species. Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention,

Application/Control Number: 09/654,936

Art Unit: 1634

subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). It is noted that searching more than one of the claimed patentably distinct sequences represents a serious burden for the office.

With regard to claims 22-26, the claims are drawn to a collection of polynucleotides comprising "at least one of SEQ ID NO: 1-164". If applicant wishes to elect a specific combination or set of nucleic acids directed to SEQ ID NOS 1-164 for search and consideration, applicant may do. In such event, claims 22-26 would be considered patentably distinct from claims 1-9 and 19 as the collection would comprise structurally and functionally distinct nucleic acids from that of the single nucleic acid in claims 1-9 and 19. Additionally, searching a set of nucleic acids would present a serious burden on the office as the search would not necessarily be coextensive with the search for a single nucleic acid as set forth in claims 1-9 and 19, as the set would comprise additional structural limitations. If applicant elects a specific set of more than one SEQ ID NO with regard to claims 22-26, claims 1-9 and 19 would be withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non elected invention. In the event that applicant elects a single nucleic acid for search in claims 1-9, 19, and 22-26, and such are found free of the art, applicant may set forth claims directed to a collection comprising the elected single SEQ ID NO: along with any of the additionally non elected SEQ ID NOS. It is noted that such a collection

would have to comprise the elected SEQ ID NO:. It is also noted that while such a collection would be free of the art, it would not necessarily meet the criteria of 35 USC 101 or 112. As such, the submission of such claims would be treated as set forth immediately below.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to

retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jehanne Sitton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0752. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Jehanne Sitton
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1634

Jehanne Sitt

6/13/05